

Symmetry fractionalization in the topological phase of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ J_1 - J_2 triangular Heisenberg model

S. N. Saadatmand^{1,*} and I. P. McCulloch¹

¹*ARC Centre for Engineered Quantum Systems, School of Mathematics and Physics,
The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia*

(Dated: July 18, 2016)

Using density-matrix renormalization-group calculations for infinite cylinders, we elucidate the properties of the spin-liquid phase of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model on the triangular lattice. We find *four* distinct ground-states characteristic of a non-chiral, Z_2 topologically ordered state with vison and spinon excitations. We shed light on the interplay of topological ordering and global symmetries in the model by detecting fractionalization of time-reversal and space-group dihedral symmetries in the anyonic sectors, which leads to coexistence of symmetry protected and intrinsic topological order. The anyonic sectors, and information on the particle statistics, can be characterized by degeneracy patterns and symmetries of the entanglement spectrum. Some unusual features in the entanglement spectrum suggest that the system may have gapless spinon excitations in the thermodynamic limit.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Vf, 05.30.Pr, 71.10.Pm, 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Kt, 75.10.Pq, 75.40.Mg

Introduction.—Topological phases[1–3] are an intriguing form of the quantum matter, which have been challenging theorists for the last two decades. Before then, it was believed that Landau symmetry-breaking theory[4] can explain ordering and phase transitions of matter through (spontaneous) breaking of a Hamiltonian symmetry. However, topological phases can preserve all symmetries and still acquire a finite energy gap. Topological phases fall into two broad categories, “intrinsic topological order”[3] on $D \geq 2$ dimensional lattices, and “symmetry protected topological” (SPT)[5, 6] order, which can also exist in one dimension. For the former phase, there is no local unitary transformation to smoothly deform the state into a product state without passing through a phase transition, regardless of the existence of symmetries. The canonical example of an intrinsic topological order is the Z_2 ground-state of the *toric code*[7]. On the other hand, SPTs are undeformable into product states only if protected by a symmetry. The best studied example is surely the Haldane phase of odd-integer spin chains[5, 6], including the ground-state of the exactly-solved Affleck-Kennedy-Lieb-Tasaki (AKLT)[8] model. A key breakthrough was the realization that anyonic statistics associated with intrinsic topological order corresponds to fractionalization of symmetry. Therefore when intrinsic topological order is coupled with lattice symmetries, the symmetries themselves fractionalize and lead to SPT ordering[9–11], which is readily detectable in many numerical methods.

In 1973, Anderson[12] conjectured that the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ triangular Heisenberg model (THM) with antiferromagnetic nearest-neighbor (NN) bonds should stabilize a resonating-valance-bond (RVB) ground-state. The failure of analytic and numerical studies[13–15, 28] to find such a state motivates the search for a minimal extension that increases the frustration with a next-nearest-

neighbor (NNN) term. The Hamiltonian is defined as

$$H = J_1 \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + J_2 \sum_{\langle\langle i,j \rangle\rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j, \quad (1)$$

where $\langle i, j \rangle$ ($\langle\langle i, j \rangle\rangle$) indicates the sum over all NN (NNN) bonds. We set $J_1 = 1$ as the unit of the energy henceforth. Previous numerical studies using a range of techniques [5, 6, 15, 17–19, 22, 30] have suggested a spin liquid[1, 3] (SL) region, with phase boundaries in the range of $J_2^{low} \approx 0.05$ [18] up to $J_2^{high} \approx 0.19$ [17]. Employing finite-size density matrix renormalization group (DMRG)[24, 25] and using fixed aspect-ratio scaling of magnetic order parameters, we find phase boundaries of $0.101(4) \leq J_2 \leq 0.136(4)$, the calculation of which will be described in more depth in a future work[26]. In this Letter, we focus on the properties of the SL phase itself. For classical spins, the model has a phase transition at $J_2 = 0.125$ between two magnetically ordered phases[14]. This point roughly coincides with the center of the spin-liquid region for the quantum model, and in this work we focus on $J_2 = 0.125$. While there is nothing forbidding coexistence of spontaneous symmetry breaking and topological order, the Hastings-Oshikawa-Lieb-Schultz-Mattis theorem[27] in two-dimensions states that the absence of symmetry breaking in a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ system on even-width cylinders implies that the ground-state is a SL with either gapless (algebraic) excitations, or gapped with degenerate ground-states and anyonic excitations. Thus absence of symmetry breaking is a sufficient (but not necessary) condition for a SL. Previous DMRG studies[5, 6] have argued for a gapped Z_2 toric-code SL, and have obtained two possible ground-states by the presence (absence) of free spins near the boundaries of finite cylinders. However the properties of these states are unclear, since depending on the sector chosen, the state may develop chiral order[6], or breaking




FIG. 1. (Color online) Visualization of the triangular lattice on an infinite YC structure. The size and color of the spheres indicate the long-range correlation with the principal (grey) site. The color of the bonds indicates the strength of the NN correlations. The average of NN correlation is subtracted from each bond to highlight the anisotropy pattern.

of C_6 rotational symmetry[5, 6, 28] leading to a nematic SL. Recent studies[10, 11, 13] focused on the kagome lattice show that the time-reversal symmetric Z_2 SL can be fully characterized by the symmetry properties of lattices on tori or infinite cylinders via the projective symmetry group (PSG) classifications[1, 11, 13, 31, 32].

Method.—We consider a triangular lattice structure that is wrapped around an infinite cylinder. We employ the infinite Matrix Product States (iMPS)[25, 33, 34] ansatz, via the infinite DMRG (iDMRG) algorithm[25, 33] with single-site optimization[3] and utilizing $SU(2)$ symmetry to obtain translationally-invariant variational ground-states on an infinite cylinder. We keep up to $m = 5,000$ states, approximately equivalent to 15,000 states of a $U(1)$ -symmetric basis. We use the so-called YC structure, where the infinite-length cylinder has a circumference equal to the number of sites in Y-direction, L_y . The mapping of the MPS chain on the cylinder is set to minimize the one-dimensional range of NN and NNN interactions. The setup is shown in Fig. 1, indicating also the correlations of a typical ground-state. Bipartite quantities, e.g. reduced density matrix (ρ_r) and entanglement entropy[28], are measured by defining a Y-direction *cut* through the cylinder without crossing any vertical bond. The framework of iDMRG is a natural candidate for calculating symmetry properties, since excitations can be introduced at cylinder edges by manipulating the symmetries of the wavefunction. Unlike the case for finite systems, the ‘edges’ are effectively at infinity, so they do not affect the translation symmetry of the wavefunction. The Z_2 SL of the RVB-type carries vison excitations, and bosonic and fermionic spinons[36]. We control the even/odd parity of spinon flux in the ground-state by setting $SU(2)$ quantum-numbers (global spins, S) to be either integers (even-sector – no spinon) or odd-half-integers (odd-sector – with spinons) at the unit cell boundary. We cannot directly control the vison flux through the cylinder, so we can only obtain two ground-states for each cylinder geometry. However for finite- L_y cylinders the degeneracy is expected to be lifted, and

fortunately we find that the ground-states for different width cylinders also give the vison and non-vison sectors, allowing us to obtain all four combinations of even/odd spinons and presence/absence of a vison flux. We note that Metlitski and Grover[37] and Kolley *et al.*[38] established the observation of a Tower of States (TOS) in the low-lying part of the entanglement spectrum (ES)[38, 39] as a “smoking gun” evidence for the existence of magnetically ordered states (carrying Nambu-Goldstone excitations). We confirm the non-magnetic nature of the phase by the absence of TOS in the ES, regardless of the anyon sector (see below and also Ref. 26).

We obtain a structure of four anyon sectors of the Z_2 toric-code-type topological order[8] that comprises the identity $\hat{1}$ -anyon (carries no spinon or vison flux), a bosonic spinon $\hat{b}$ -anyon (carries a $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin), a $\hat{v}$ -anyon (carries a vison and has a π -flux threading the cylinder, equivalent to possessing anti-periodic boundary conditions in the Y-direction), and finally a fermionic spinon $\hat{f}$ -anyon (a composite excitation, which carries both a $S = \frac{1}{2}$ spin and a π -flux). In this letter, we work in a minimally entangled states (MES)[9, 41] basis introduced in Refs. 10 and 11 for the four-dimensional ground-state manifold and preserves SPT ordering. For even- L_y cylinders, each unique MES state[11] corresponds to threading an anyonic flux in the long-direction and creating a particle/anti-particle pair of $\hat{a}$ at infinity, namely $|U_{L_y}^{a/\bar{a}}\rangle$ (also denoted as $YCL_y\text{-}\hat{a}$ sector). Given a particular MES, the action of a global symmetry group ($\bar{g}$) member, Γ_g , on the state can be considered as two independent actions on each anyon, i.e. $\Gamma_g|U_{L_y}^{a/\bar{a}}\rangle = \Upsilon_g|U_{L_y}^a\rangle \otimes \Upsilon_{\bar{g}}|U_{L_y}^{\bar{a}}\rangle$, where Υ_g ’s are unitary operators acting on a single anyon $|U_{L_y}^a\rangle$. Anyons can fractionalize[10, 11, 13, 32] the symmetry, $\bar{g}$, by factorizing an identity member of the group (square root of $\bar{g}$). $\bar{g}$ is always a *linear* representation (it is describing a physical symmetry), but Υ_g ’s can now form a non-trivial PSG, which is a central extension of the original group[1]. In the MPS representation of the ground-state, the Υ_g can be expressed as operators acting on the ‘auxiliary’ basis, i. e. the basis of the entanglement Hamiltonian, $-\ln(\rho_r)$, on a bipartite cut. Thus the existence of a PSG through measurements of Υ_g ’s implies 1D SPT ordering[11], by considering rings as single “super-sites” (global symmetries along Y-direction are now internal symmetries when viewed as a 1D chain), which is straightforward to detect using iMPS techniques[43].

Ground-state energies.—We present ground-state energies of anyonic sectors in Fig. 2. Energies are extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit of basis size $m \rightarrow \infty$, using a linear fit against the energy variance per site (see Ref. 28 for details). We suggest that fitting against variances is the most accurate method for extrapolating energies in DMRG (more reliable than extrapolation with respect to the DMRG truncation error). The different topological sectors are expected to acquire slightly dif-




FIG. 2. (Color online) Ground-state energies at $J_2 = 0.125$ against inverse cylinder width. Our results for infinitely long cylinders with extrapolation to zero variance are in blue. Dashed lines are guides to the eye. Red shaded symbols are finite-size DMRG results from Ref. 6. Brown symbols are variational quantum Monte-Carlo (VQMC) results on $L \times L$ tori with the horizontal line indicating an $L \rightarrow \infty$ extrapolation, from Ref. 22.

ferent energies on finite-width cylinders. Depending on L_y , we find that the actual ground-state in the even/odd sectors varies as to whether it contains a π vison flux or not. In some cases, especially for smaller widths, we have been able to construct variational wavefunctions in the other sectors by manipulating the wavefunction (i. e. to force a particular symmetry state), but the resulting states are rather unstable and have considerably higher energies. However the overall behavior of energies indicates that the difference between energy of even- and odd-sectors is rapidly decreasing with increasing L_y . This is consistent with having a degenerate ground-state in the thermodynamic limit $L_y \rightarrow \infty$. Interestingly, there is an energy crossover between even/odd-sectors already for YC10, which makes it unreliable to estimate an energy for $L_y \rightarrow \infty$ limit. We note that our energies per site for larger system widths are somewhat lower than previously published results.

Symmetry group measurements.— We present our main symmetry group measurements on different anyonic sectors and system sizes in Table I. Considering the time-reversal symmetry, τ , we find that $|\langle\tau\rangle|$ is very close to 1.0 in all sectors, indicating that time-reversal symmetry is not broken and therefore the ground-state is non-chiral. A state carrying a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ spinon flux can be realized by the action of τ on the auxiliary basis, in the form of $C[\tau^2] = \Upsilon_\tau \Upsilon_\tau^*$. For $\hat{b}$ and $\hat{f}$ sectors, one expects $\langle\Upsilon_\tau \Upsilon_\tau^*\rangle = -1$, anti-symmetric under time-reversal, which is precisely what we observe (these SPTs are also protected by parity reflection[28]). A state that carries a π vison flux can be detected by the action of the cylin-

TABLE I. Summary of topological invariants for $L_y \times \infty$ cylinders at $J_2 = 0.125$. $|\langle R_y \rangle|$, $|\langle T_y \rangle|$, and $|\langle \tau \rangle|$ are close to 1.0 in all cases[28].

Structure	spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ boundary	degeneracy of ES	$\langle C[D_{L_y}] \rangle$	$\langle C[\tau^2] \rangle$	sector
YC6	even	2-fold	-0.999996	1 ± 10^{-11}	$\hat{v}$
YC6	odd	2-fold	0.9999998	-1 ± 10^{-14}	$\hat{b}$
YC8	even	non-degenerate	0.99998	1 ± 10^{-10}	$\hat{i}$
YC8	odd	4-fold	-0.999990	-1 ± 10^{-11}	$\hat{f}$
YC10	even	non-degenerate	0.9996	1 ± 10^{-9}	$\hat{i}$
YC10	odd	2-fold	0.9998	-1 ± 10^{-9}	$\hat{b}$

der dihedral symmetry group D_{L_y} in the Y-direction. The elements of the group are generated by reflection around a site or bond[28], R_y , and a translation by one lattice site, T_y . The linear and projective representations can be distinguished by the commutation between R_y and a π -rotation, $T_y^\pi = (T_y)^{\frac{L_y}{2}}$. Visions fractionalize D_{L_y} , acquiring an effective anti-periodic boundary condition in Y-direction, whereby reflection and π rotations anticommute, $R_y T_y^\pi = -T_y^\pi R_y$. Thus one expects $C[D_{L_y}] = \langle \Upsilon_{R_y} \Upsilon_{T_y^\pi} \Upsilon_{R_y}^\dagger \Upsilon_{T_y^\pi}^\dagger \rangle = -1$ for the $\hat{v}$ and $\hat{f}$ sectors; i.e. D_{L_y} fractionalizes into a PSG with an invariant gauge group[1, 11] of Z_2 . Combined, the measurements of $C[\tau^2]$ and $C[D_{L_y}]$ give distinct topological invariants for the four sectors, and imply fusion rules[8, 28] of a Z_2 SL. Furthermore, this gives information about the self-statistics, in particular the obtained topological invariants are incompatible[10] with the double-semion topological order[44], since the semion and anti-semion are time-reversal partners, but here the two spinon sectors have different PSGs so they cannot be interchanged under τ . We also present a more comprehensive list of symmetry observables in the Supplementary Material[28].

Entanglement spectrum.—The ES, set of $\{\lambda_i\}$'s, is a way of presenting the eigenvalues of the entanglement Hamiltonian analogous to a set of energy levels. λ_i can be labeled by any global symmetry of the system as long as it is preserved in the bipartite cut. In this case, we choose $SU(2)$ spin S (preserved explicitly in the calculations), and D_{L_y} , which is *not* preserved exactly; but it is straightforward to diagonalize T_y to obtain the momentum-resolved ES. In the absence of a π vison flux, the allowed Y-momenta (k_n 's) are arranged with a spacing of $k_n = \frac{2\pi n}{L_y}$. The key difference in the vison sectors is a shift of a half spacing, $k_n = \frac{2\pi}{L_y}(n + 1/2)$ due to the π -flux causing an effective anti-periodic boundary condition. Because each anyonic sector corresponds to a unique set of symmetry group measurements that cannot smoothly deform, sectors have a uniquely identifying ES (such a unique form of ES on infinite cylinders was originally observed in the honeycomb Haldane




FIG. 3. (Color online) The momentum-resolved ES of the *even-boundary* topological sectors for different cylinder circumferences L_y , in the spin-liquid region at $J_2 = 0.125$. The topological sectors are (a) YC6- $\hat{v}$, (b) YC8- $\hat{i}$ and (c) YC10- $\hat{i}$.

model[45]). In general it is a non-trivial task to interpret highly-populated ES levels, but the overall degeneracy patterns are signatures of SPT ordering, when viewing the cylinder as an infinite chain[6, 11, 46]. That is, in the presence of SPT, every ES state has a multiple of n -fold degeneracy, where n is determined by the symmetry properties of the state. In particular, the $\hat{i}$ -sector ES has no degeneracy, $\hat{b}$ -sector has 2-fold degeneracy associated with half-integer spins (Kramers degeneracy from $C[\tau^2] = -1$), $\hat{v}$ -sector has 2-fold degeneracy associated with PSGs of D_{L_y} , and the $\hat{f}$ -sector has 4-fold degeneracy combining Kramers and PSG of D_{L_y} .

In Fig. 3, we present the ES of even-boundary topological sectors for various width cylinders. The $\hat{v}$ -sector on YC6 has an exact (up to numerical accuracy) 2-fold degeneracy arising from $\pm k$ momenta, which is not shared by the $\hat{i}$ -sector (the $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$ states are non-degenerate), which is a proof for the π -flux. The low-lying structure is a deformed two-spinon continuum, most easily seen for the larger-width lattices. We suggest this general pattern (manifested in Fig. 3(c)) is characteristic of even sectors and presumably persists in large- L_y limit.

ES results for odd-boundary topological sectors are presented in Fig. 4. The $\hat{f}$ -sector for YC8 has (nearly) 4-fold degeneracy and momenta are shifted by $\frac{\pi}{8}$, which indicates both a spinon and vison π -flux. The ES of




FIG. 4. (Color online) The momentum-resolved ES of the *odd-boundary* topological sectors for different cylinder circumferences L_y , in the spin-liquid region at $J_2 = 0.125$. The topological sectors are (a) YC6- $\hat{b}$, (b) YC8- $\hat{f}$ and (c) YC10- $\hat{b}$.

the $\hat{b}$ -sector for YC6 or YC10 is (nearly) 2-fold degenerate due to the odd-half-integer spin boundaries, indicating spinons but no π -flux. Again the overall pattern of the low-energy structure is consistent between vison and non-vison sectors, and appears to be converging to a well-defined large- L_y limit. Intriguingly, the low-energy structure for the odd sector is reminiscent of a Fermi arc[47], appearing as an excitation mode that only covers a subset of the Brillouin zone.

Discussion.—Using $SU(2)$ -symmetric iDMRG, we have provided a robust demonstration of the properties of the spin-liquid phase of the THM on infinite cylinders, obtaining *four* ground-states, which we have classified according to their symmetry fractionalization properties. This shows that the low-lying structure of the model carries non-chiral Z_2 toric-code-type topological order.

While our calculations are always in the limit of infinite aspect ratio (we do not address directly the nature of the 2D limit) we suggest that the degeneracy of the ground-states is robust. We are not yet able to directly measure the energy gap to excited states, however the iMPS ansatz does readily provide the correlation length, which in all cases is rather small[28], suggesting a finite gap. However the possibility remains that the system is gapless in the 2D limit, particularly the low-lying structures in the ES suggests Dirac nodes, consistent with an algebraic SL. In agreement with Hu *et al.*[6], we observe

anisotropic (C_6 -symmetry breaking) correlations for the odd-sectors only, while the even-sectors appear to get isotropic as the width is increased. We were unable to detect the expected topological entanglement entropy of $-\ln(2)$ due to the limited accuracy of the obtained entropy, and relatively small L_y , which is an inherent difficulty with DMRG procedure[28]. If the system is gapless in the 2D limit, then there will be *logarithmic* corrections that make the fit almost impossible to perform for numerically accessible system sizes.

Irrespective of the nature of the state in the 2D limit, we have shown that finite-width YC structures have a small correlation length and hence a finite energy gap. A long, narrow cylinder is a plausible geometry for a quantum-engineered device, and there are recent proposals for the construction of fermionic Hofstadter-Hubbard model on a cylindrical optical lattice[48]. Candidate materials that could be realizations of the Z_2 RVB SL are κ -(BEDT-TTF) $_2$ Cu $_2$ (CN) $_3$ [49] (with no indication of any gapless spin excitations) and EtMe $_3$ Sb[Pd(dmit) $_2$] $_2$ [50] (recognized as a gapless state).

The authors would like to thank Jason Pillay, Henry Nourse, Michael Zaletel, Yin-Chen He, Wen-Jun Hu and Shou-Shu Gong for useful discussions. The authors would also like to thank Ben Powell for some start-up ideas and useful discussions in the early stages of the project. This work has been supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Centre of Excellence for Engineered Quantum Systems, grant CE110001013. I.P.M. also acknowledges support from the ARC Future Fellowships scheme, FT140100625.

* s.saadatmand@uq.edu.au

- [1] X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 165113 (2002).
- [2] Xiao-Gang Wen, *Quantum Field Theory of Many-Body Systems: From the Origin of Sound to an Origin of Light and Electrons*, Oxford Graduate Texts, OUP Oxford (2007).
- [3] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 155138 (2010).
- [4] L. D. Landau, *Phys. Z. Sowjetunion* **11**, 26 (1937); V. L. Ginzburg and L. D. Landau, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **20**, 1064 (1950). English versions are available in: *L. D. Landau, Collected Papers*, Elsevier: Pergamon imprint (2013).
- [5] Zheng-Cheng Gu and Xiao-Gang Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 155131 (2009).
- [6] Frank Pollmann, Ari M. Turner, Erez Berg, and Masaki Oshikawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 064439 (2010); F. Pollmann, E. Berg, A. M. Turner, and M. Oshikawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 075125 (2012).
- [7] Alexei Kitaev, *Annals Phys.* **303** 2-30 (2003); Alexei Kitaev and Chris Laumann, *preprint*, arXiv:0904.2771v1 (2009).
- [8] I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 799 (1987); I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **115**, 477 (1988).
- [9] A. Mesaros and Y. Ran, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 155115 (2013); M. Barkeshli, M. Bonderson, M. Cheng, and Z. Wang, *preprint* arXiv:1410.4540 (2014).
- [10] Yin-Chen He, D. N. Sheng, and Yan Chen, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 075110 (2014).
- [11] Michael P. Zaletel, Yuan-Ming Lu, and Ashvin Vishwanath, *preprint*, arXiv:1501.01395v1 (2015).
- [12] P. W. Anderson, *Materials Research Bulletin* **8**, 153 (1973).
- [13] D. A. Huse and V. Elser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 2531 (1988); Th. Jolicoeur and J. C. Le Guillou, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 2727(R) (1989); R. Deutscher, H. U. Everts, *Z. Phys. B Cond. Mat.* **93**, 77 (1993); B. Bernu, P. Lecheminant, C. Lhuillier, and L. Pierre, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 10048 (1994); L. Capriotti, A. E. Trumper, and S. Sorella, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3899 (1999); S. R. White and A. L. Chernyshev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 127004 (2007); D. J. J. Farnell, O. Götze, J. Richter, R. F. Bishop, and P. H. Y. Li, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 184407 (2014).
- [14] Th. Jolicoeur, E. Dagotto, E. Gagliano, and S. Bacci, *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 4800(R) (1990); A. V. Chubukov and Th. Jolicoeur, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 11137 (1992).
- [15] P. H. Y. Li, R. F. Bishop, and C. E. Campbell, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 014426 (2015).
- [16] S. N. Saadatmand, B. J. Powell, and I. P. McCulloch, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 245119 (2015).
- [17] L. O. Manuel and H. A. Ceccatto, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 9489 (1999).
- [18] R. V. Mishmash, J. R. Garrison, S. Bieri, and C. Xu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 157203 (2013).
- [19] R. Kaneko, S. Morita, and M. Imada, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **83**, 093707 (2014).
- [20] Zhenyue Zhu and Steven R. White, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 041105 (2015).
- [21] Wen-Jun Hu, Shou-Shu Gong, Wei Zhu, and D. N. Sheng, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 140403(R) (2015).
- [22] Yasir Iqbal, Wen-Jun Hu, Ronny Thomale, Didier Poilblanc, and Federico Becca, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 144411 (2016).
- [23] Alexander Wietek, Andreas M. Läuchli, *preprint*, arXiv:1604.07829v1 (2016).
- [24] S. R. White, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2863 (1992); Steven R. White, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 10345 (1993); I. P. McCulloch, *J. Stat. Mech.* P10014 (2007).
- [25] U. Schollwöck, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **326**, 96 (2011).
- [26] S. N. Saadatmand and I. P. McCulloch, *Phase diagram of the triangular Heisenberg model on cylinders*, in preparation.
- [27] E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **16**, 407 (1961); M. Oshikawa, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 1535 (2000); M. B. Hastings, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 140402 (2004); Hastings, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 104431 (2004); M. B. Hastings, *Europhys. Lett.* **70**, 824 (2005).
- [28] See “Supplemental Materials” for more details on variational energies and numerical convergence of the ES, symmetry group measurements, entanglement entropy, lattice visualization of the even sectors, bond anisotropies, correlation lengths, and the scalar chiral order parameter.
- [29] Yang Qi and Liang Fu, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 100401(R) (2015).
- [30] Michael P. Zaletel and Ashvin Vishwanath, *Phys. Rev.*

- Lett. **114**, 077201 (2015).
- [31] Yejin Huh, Matthias Punk, and Subir Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 094419 (2011).
- [32] A. M. Essin and M. Hermele, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 104406 (2013).
- [33] I. P. McCulloch, *preprint*, arXiv:0804.2509v1 (2008).
- [34] F. Verstraete, J. I. Cirac, and V. Murg, *Adv. Phys.* **57**, 143 (2008) ; L. Michel, I. P. McCulloch, *preprint*, arXiv:1008.4667v1 (2010).
- [35] C. Hubig, I. P. McCulloch, U. Schollwöck, and F. A. Wolf, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 155115 (2015).
- [36] T. Senthil and Matthew P. A. Fisher, *Phys. Rev.* **62**, 7850 (2000).
- [37] Max A. Metlitski and Tarun Grover, *preprint*, arXiv:1112.5166v2 (2015).
- [38] F. Kolley, S. Depenbrock, I. P. McCulloch, U. Schollwöck, and V. Alba, *Phys. Rev. B* **88**, 144426 (2013).
- [39] Hui Li and F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 010504 (2008).
- [40] A. Kitaev, *Ann. Phys.* **321**, 2 (2006).
- [41] Y. Zhang, T. Grover, A. Turner, M. Oshikawa, and A. Vishwanath, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 235151 (2012).
- [42] Hong-Chen Jiang, Zhenghan Wang, and Leon Balents, *Nature Physics* **8**, 902-905 (2012).
- [43] Frank Pollmann and Ari M. Turner, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 125441 (2012).
- [44] Michael Freedman, Chetan Nayak, Krill Shtengel, Kevin Walker, and Zhengan Wang, *Ann. Phys.* **310**, 428 (2004).
- [45] L. Cincio and G. Vidal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 067208 (2013).
- [46] Ari M. Turner, Frank Pollmann, and Erez Berg, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 075102 (2011).
- [47] Xiangang Wan, Ari M. Turner, Ashvin Vishwanath, and Sergey Y. Savrasov, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 205101 (2011).
- [48] Mateusz Łacki, Hannes Pichler, Antoine Sterdyniak, Andreas Lyras, Vassilis E. Lembessis, Omar Al-Dossary, Jan Carl Budich, and Peter Zoller, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 013604 (2016).
- [49] Y. Shimizu, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, M. Maesato, and G. Saito, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 107001 (2003) ; Y. Kurosaki, Y. Shimizu, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, and G. Saito, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 177001 (2005) ; M. Yamashita, N. Nakata, Y. Kasahara, T. Sasaki, N. Yoneyama, N. Kobayashi, S. Fujimoto, T. Shibauchi, and Y. Matsuda, *Nature Physics* **5**, 44 (2009).
- [50] T. Itou, A. Oyamada, S. Maegawa, M. Tamura, and R. Kato, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **19**, 145247 (2007); T. Itou, A. Oyamada, S. Maegawa, M. Tamura, and R. Kato, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 104413 (2008).

Symmetry fractionalization in the topological phase of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ J_1 - J_2 triangular Heisenberg model – Supplemental Material

S. N. Saadatmand and I. P. McCulloch

VARIATIONAL ENERGIES

In the Letter, we present the extrapolated energies for different topological sectors and system sizes in the spin-liquid phase of the triangular Heisenberg model (THM). These are obtained from the *variational* energy of well-converged wavefunctions using the $SU(2)$ -symmetric infinite density-matrix renormalization-group (iDMRG) method with fixed number of states, m . The extrapolation of the variational energy to the thermodynamic limit of $m \rightarrow \infty$ needs particular care to achieve high accuracy. During convergence of iDMRG sweeps, we notice that it is vital for the wavefunctions to be well-optimized with several sweeps of a constant number of states, otherwise the resulting wavefunction may have an artificially higher variational energy leading to incorrect scaling. The extrapolation to $m \rightarrow \infty$ can be done in several ways, here we compare two well-known candidates, the *energy variance* and DMRG *truncation error*.

The variance of the energy, $var_m = \langle \psi_m | (H - E_m)^2 | \psi_m \rangle$, is computationally somewhat costly to calculate for 2D cylinders due to the large dimension of the relevant operator in matrix product operator (MPO) form[S1]. However it is one of the strongest criteria for checking convergence of the wavefunctions, $|\psi_m\rangle$. The variance *per site* is well-defined in the thermodynamic limit for iDMRG, and can be evaluated efficiently using the recursive approach described in [S2]. The results for variational energy versus variance per site (averaged over the L_y -site unit-cell), var_{ave} , is presented in Fig. S1. The individual error bars are an estimated DMRG systematic error when calculating the energy for fixed basis size m , which we obtain from the minimum and maximum energies across a sweep of the unit cell.

In Fig. S1, it is clear that the behavior of the variational energy is already indicative of the general behavior (main letter, Fig. 2), even without the extrapolation to the thermodynamic limit of $m \rightarrow \infty$. The energy difference between even-boundary and odd-boundary topological sectors is rapidly decreasing with increasing L_y , which is consistent with the existence of a truly degenerate ground-state in the 2D limit of $L_y \rightarrow \infty$. We note that for narrower YC structures ($L_y = 6, 8$), odd sector always has lowest energy, while for $L_y = 10$, the even sector has the lowest energy. This crossover in the lowest energy state between even and odd sectors is not an artifact of the extrapolation, since the variational energies already show the crossover behavior.




FIG. S1. (Color online) iDMRG results for the variational energy versus variance per site for the YC structures of the THM at $J_2 = 0.125$ (deep in the Z_2 spin-liquid phase). The individual error bars are an estimate for iDMRG systematic errors. Thin and dashed lines are linear fits to the final few points at largest basis sizes m , which gives the estimate of the energy in the thermodynamic limit (see below).




FIG. S2. (Color online) iDMRG results for the variational energy versus truncation error for the YC structures of the THM at $J_2 = 0.125$. The individual error bars are an estimate for iDMRG systematic errors. Thin and dashed lines are linear fits to the final few points at largest basis sizes m , which gives the estimate of the energy in the thermodynamic limit (see below).




FIG. S3. (Color online) The evolution of ES in the even sectors while increasing the number of states, m . (a) A wavefunction with $m \lesssim m_{kink}$. Dashed lines only connect the boundaries of low-lying states to emphasize a candidate for the two-spinon excitation spectrum. (b) A better-converged ground-state with $m \gtrsim m_{kink}$, consistent with stabilization of an i-sector. Spectra belong to iDMRG results for YC12 structures of the THM at $J_2 = 0.125$.

In DMRG, each step involves a truncation of the spectrum of the *density matrix*, ρ . This will produce a truncation error that is the sum of all discarded eigenvalues of ρ . The actual value of this truncation error depends on the details of the algorithm – for a purely single-site algorithm with no density matrix mixing the truncation error is exactly zero, however for two-site optimization and single-site algorithms with a non-zero density matrix mixing[S3], the truncation error gives a stable quantity through which a scaling to the thermodynamic limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ can be performed. Here, the truncation error, ε_m , of a state with a fixed m means the average of all individual truncation errors at each site of the unit cell over the final DMRG sweep. ε_m is commonly considered as a good convergence criterion for the DMRG wavefunctions. For well-converged wavefunctions in a DMRG calculation, the scaling behavior of energy with the truncation error is expected to be linear, while other observables, $\langle \psi | O | \psi \rangle$, generally scale with $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ [S4]. However, we emphasize that the truncation error is highly algorithm-dependent, and a small truncation error doesn't imply that the wavefunction is well converged. The variance is a much more robust error estimator, and it is better to use this wherever possible.

We present the variational energy versus truncation error in Fig. S2. There are only minor differences with Fig. S1. It is worth mentioning that the small m -value wavefunctions usually cannot produce the correct scaling behavior, instead they may lead to some strong *quadratic* behavior. The thermodynamic limit predictions of energies using truncation error fits matches closely to fitted results using variances, showing the high stability and convergence of the wavefunctions. However the difference is relatively large for YC10-i (see below).

We repeatedly observed an interesting exception to the smooth energy behavior shown in Fig. S1 and Fig. S2, for the even-sectors of the YC structure. There is a sudden drop in energy at a specific number of states, namely m_{kink} , which depends strongly on the system size (also observed by Zu *et al.*[S5]). This occurs for a number of states $m_{kink} \sim 10^3$ for $L_y \geq 10$. Initially when $m < m_{kink}$, the energy is in a higher meta-stable plateau (not shown in the figures), even for apparently well-converged wavefunctions. But the energy will suddenly drop to a lower plateau for $m > m_{kink}$, and thereafter show a systematic linear extrapolation with variance as expected. This is a common phenomena in DMRG calculations on systems with inhomogeneous ground-states. Our tests show that the wavefunctions before the m_{kink} lack important geometrical symmetry properties (e.g. reflection $\langle R_y \rangle$) and give an incorrect scaling for the energy. As a result, we disregard any wavefunction with $m < m_{kink}$ in the results. However, such states may preserve some subset of Hamiltonian symmetries. In particular, we found that translation symmetry in the Y -direction is well-preserved, which leads to a surprisingly well-converged appearance of the 'momentum-resolved' entanglement spectrum (ES) (see below), which is a pitfall for DMRG calculations – one must perform careful checking to ensure that *all* expected symmetries are preserved.

Hu *et al.*[S6] also present bulk ground-state energies versus truncation errors for fixed- m wavefunctions in both even- and odd-boundary topological sectors for YC10, using DMRG on *finite*-width cylinders. For extrapolating individual energies, they employ a *quadratic* fit, presumably due to larger quadratic corrections from calculating energies in the bulk of the system (excluding the sites near the boundary). Nevertheless, finite DMRG and iDMRG individual energies are in a relatively good match, especially for the even-boundary topological sector.

TABLE I. Detailed list of energy and symmetry group measurements for the THM at $J_2 = 0.125$. $\langle R_y \rangle$ is calculated per enlarged unit cell of $L_y \times L_y$ sites, which partially accounts for the reduced accuracy of this quantity compared with the other results. The Y -momentum, θ_y , calculated from the eigenvalue of the translation operator, T_y , is obtained per unit cell of L_y sites, which is numerically indistinguishable from ± 1.0 .

Structure	spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ boundary	ES degeneracy	extrapolated energy per site	$\langle C[P^2] \rangle$	$\langle R_y \rangle$	θ_y	$\langle C[D_{L_y}] \rangle$	$\langle C[T_y^\pi, \tau] \rangle$	$\langle C[\tau^2] \rangle$	sector
YC6	even	2-fold	$-0.510617(6)_{Trunc}$ $-0.510582(2)_{Var}$	1 ± 10^{-7}	0.9998	π	-0.999996	-0.999994	1 ± 10^{-11}	$\hat{v}$
YC6	odd	2-fold	$-0.5145491(2)_{Trunc}$ $-0.5145485(2)_{Var}$	-1 ± 10^{-8}	0.999993	0	0.9999998	-0.9999998	-1 ± 10^{-14}	$\hat{b}$
YC8	even	non- degenerate	$-0.51192(2)_{Trunc}$ $-0.511814(6)_{Var}$	1 ± 10^{-6}	0.998	0	0.99998	0.99997	1 ± 10^{-10}	$\hat{i}$
YC8	odd	4-fold	$-0.513492(7)_{Trunc}$ $-0.513441(1)_{Var}$	-1 ± 10^{-7}	-0.9994	π	-0.999990	0.99998	-1 ± 10^{-11}	$\hat{f}$
YC10	even	non- degenerate	$-0.5136(2)_{Trunc}$ $-0.5127(1)_{Var}$	1 ± 10^{-7}	-0.98	π	0.9996	0.9996	1 ± 10^{-9}	$\hat{i}$
YC10	odd	2-fold	$-0.51288(4)_{Trunc}$ $-0.51236(1)_{Var}$	-1 ± 10^{-6}	0.993	0	0.9998	-0.9998	-1 ± 10^{-9}	$\hat{b}$

We also performed iDMRG calculations for the even- and odd-sectors of the YC12 structure. Our individual wavefunctions for YC12 are not as well converged as the narrower cylinders, even up to $m = 5000$ $SU(2)$ states, so we have not included the energy extrapolations in our main results. In particular, it appears that most of YC12 even sector results are still below $m_{kink}(L_y = 12)$. However we were able to produce few points slightly above $m \approx 5000$, where a *valid* ES was obtained, and consistent with the results for smaller systems (main Letter, Fig. 3). We show this spectrum, as well as another spectrum *above* the kink, for YC12 in Fig. S3. The ES below the kink, Fig. S3(a), which we emphasize does not represent the ground-state, nevertheless has a low-lying structure that is in one-to-one correspondence with a finite-size two-spinon spectrum[S7]. This feature appears to be generic to weakly-coupled rings (also seen in well-converged wavefunctions by reducing the magnitude of the couplings in the X -direction). The ES for the ground-state, Fig. S3(b), appears to be a heavily distorted version of the two-spinon continuum, probably with a *quadratic* rather than linear dispersion around $k = \pm\pi$.

DETAILED RESULTS FOR SYMMETRY GROUP MEASUREMENTS

In the main letter, we understand that the obtained structure of four anyon sectors identifies the symmetry as corresponding to the ordering of an Z_2 RVB with anyonic quasi-particles. The fusion rules[S8] of these anyons read: $\hat{b} \times \hat{i} = \hat{b}$, $\hat{v} \times \hat{i} = \hat{v}$, $\hat{f} \times \hat{i} = \hat{f}$, $\hat{b} \times \hat{v} = \hat{f}$, and $\hat{i} \times \hat{i} = \hat{v} \times \hat{v} = \hat{b} \times \hat{b} = \hat{f} \times \hat{f} = \hat{i}$ (anyons are their own anti-particle). Each anyonic sector corresponds to a particle/anti-particle pair located at each end of the infinite cylinder, which is exactly the MES state. It is well-known[S9, S10] that MESs are local minima of entanglement entropy, so we expect iDMRG to naturally converge toward them, although stabilizing all anyonic sectors proved to be numerically challenging. We then present an analysis of time-reversal symmetry, τ , which can identify the existence of spinon excitations[S11] and projective symmetry groups (PSGs) of the cylinder's dihedral group, D_{L_y} , which can identify the existence of vison excitations[S12] (already classifying all sectors). We note that the sign of proposed commutators, $\langle C[D_{L_y}] \rangle$ and $\langle C[\tau^2] \rangle$, is *not* fixed for the $\hat{v}$ -sector due to criteria of ‘generalized flux-fusion anomaly test’, as proposed by Qi *et al.*[S13] for different topological invariants when explicitly preserving $SU(2)$ symmetry. In Table I, we present additional symmetry and energy measurements for the different topological sectors and system sizes. For comparison purposes, we first report extrapolated energies using truncation error fits (E_{trunc}) and variance fits (E_{var}) from Fig. S2 and Fig. S1 respectively. Parity reflection (P) here is a reversal of the ordering of the MPS wavefunction, which corresponds to both a reflection in the X -direction (exchanging boundaries of the cylinder), as well as a reversal of ordering of sites within the unit cell, which corresponds to a reflection in the Y -direction. Similarly to the case of time reversal, the parity reflection operator functions as an anti-linear operator acting on the entanglement Hamiltonian so we obtain the square of parity reflection measured on the MPS auxiliary basis, $C[P^2] = \langle \Upsilon_P \Upsilon_P^* \rangle$, in a similar way to $C[\tau^2]$. The results also follow exactly $C[\tau^2]$ as clear from Table I. I.e. the SPT structure of $\hat{b}$ and $\hat{f}$ -sectors are simultaneously protected by parity reflection and time-reversal symmetries.

Next, we present the eigenvalues of reflection in the Y direction, R_y . There are subtleties involved in this measurement. Because of the form of the wrapping of the cylinder Hamiltonian onto a one-dimensional MPS, the central site (or bond) that the reflection is applied around is changing when one moves from one unit cell of the cylinder to the next. To compensate for this, the final form of R_y needs to be written as an operator acting on a unit cell




FIG. S4. (Color online) iDMRG results for the EE in the YC structures of the THM at $J_2 = 0.125$. (a) Individual entropies for different topological sectors and system sizes against square root of truncation errors, $\sqrt{\epsilon}$. Thin and dashed lines are linear fits for the points with smallest truncation errors. (b) Extrapolated entropies at the thermodynamic limit of $m \rightarrow \infty$, for different topological sectors and system sizes.

of size $L_y \times L_y$, which is a rather complicated object. As a result, $\langle R_y \rangle$ is obtained as an eigenvalue measured per $L_y \times L_y$ sites, thus particularly sensitive to convergence of the wavefunction. We find that the closeness of $|\langle R_y \rangle|$ to the identity is the hardest convergence criterion for the variational wavefunctions to meet. The wavefunctions presented in Table I achieve the best $|\langle R_y \rangle|$ within our available computational resources.

By comparison, construction of translation in the Y-direction, T_y , is relatively straightforward as a product of swap operations acting purely within one unit cell, hence $\langle T_y \rangle$ is obtained as an eigenvalue per L_y sites. Since convergence of $\langle T_y \rangle$ is easily achievable for variational wavefunctions (even keeping a relatively small basis size m), we only report the complex phase of $\langle T_y \rangle$, i.e. the ground-state momentum in the Y-direction (θ_y) in the table. θ_y is consistent with the ground-state momentum of a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$, L_y -site Heisenberg ring[S14] for the even sectors, and the odd sectors have a relative momentum shift of π .

We also report results of measurement of another observable, $C[T_y^\pi, \tau] = (T_y^\pi \tau)^2 \cdot (\tau)^2 = T_y^\pi \tau T_y^\pi \tau^{-1}$. In auxiliary basis notation, one can write $\langle C[T_y^\pi, \tau] \rangle = \langle \Upsilon_{T_y^\pi} \Upsilon_\tau \Upsilon_{T_y^\pi}^* \Upsilon_\tau^\dagger \rangle$, which denotes the anti-symmetry of the combination of time-reversal and a π rotation around the Y-axis and is not an independent topological invariant, but the product of $C[D_{L_y}]$ and $C[\tau^2]$.

ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

The entanglement entropy[515] (EE) is a central object in the fields of quantum information and many-body physics. It is widely used to identify the nature of the low-energy spectrum, symmetry breaking, and topological degeneracy of the ground-state (see for example Refs. [515–520]). The EE can be measured in different ways, but the calculation of the von Neumann entropy along the bipartition cut of the cylinder[59] is computationally easy from the MPS ansatz, as $S_{EE} = -\text{tr}(\rho_r \log \rho_r)$, where ρ_r is the reduced density matrix of either partition of the cut.

For two-dimensional quantum systems with *local* interactions and a boundary size significantly larger than the correlation length, it is well-known[515–517, 521] that the EE will scale with the boundary area (so-called *area-law*), not the system volume. In this case, one can write[516, 517] $S_{EE} = \alpha L_y - \gamma + \dots$, where α is a non-universal constant depending on short wavelength modes near the boundary, L_y is the size of the cut, γ is the topological entanglement entropy (TEE) that depends only on the quantum dimension of the ground-state, and we ignore corrections that vanish in the thermodynamic limit. The TEE depends on the ground-state degeneracy of the system, and is $\ln(2) \approx 0.6931$ for the Z_2 topological phase (see for example Refs. [59 and 518]).

We present our results for the EEs of the individual wavefunctions in Fig. S4(a) and extrapolated values in Fig. S4(b). As it is clear from Fig. S4(a), for fixed- L_y the even sector always has higher EE and support more entanglement than the odd sector for the same number of states. Reliable extrapolations to the thermodynamic limit of $m \rightarrow \infty$ are possible for both sectors by employing a linear fit against $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ as shown in the figure. However, in Fig. S4(b), no obvious fit for the EE in the 2D limit, $L_y \rightarrow \infty$, can be done that produces a non-spurious TEE close to $\gamma = \ln(2)$,




FIG. S5. (Color online) Lattice visualization of a YC10- $\hat{s}$ wavefunction. NN and long-range correlations are calculated using iDMRG, then the size and the color of bonds and spin spheres are set accordingly. The average of NN correlation is subtracted from each bond to highlight the anisotropy pattern.

most likely due to finite size effects. The direct measurement of the TEE, using linear extrapolations to entropies derived for a fixed- L_y cylinders, faces several obstacles. The main problem is that two of our three major system sizes, $L_y = 6, 8$, are quite likely still too narrow to produce correct scaling for extrapolation in large- L_y . In addition, $L_y = 10$ is exactly where DMRG studies (cf. Ref. S6 and the main Letter) observe a crossing between energy of different topological sectors. A similar effect was also seen by Iqbal *et. al*[S22], using Quantum Monte-Carlo, who found that the energy scaling starts to change considerably for $L_y \geq 10$. This suggests that only system sizes of $L_y \geq 10$ can effectively be employed to extrapolate observables for the 2D limit of $L_y \rightarrow \infty$. Additionally, we have obtained at most two different system sizes per topological sector. In principle for finite width systems the EE values can lie on different lines for each sector, which makes it hard to trust in any entropy extrapolation containing different anyonic sectors. The existence of some *gapless* modes in the spin-liquid phase at thermodynamic limit would give a *log* correction[S19] to the entropy, which would make a fit to a few data points prohibitively difficult. As a result of these obstacles, we conclude that the set of $L_y = 6, 8, 10$ are not expected to produce any reliable TEE value even having individually highly-converged wavefunctions.

BOND ANISOTROPIES

In the main Letter we present the real-space correlations (lattice visualization) of a YC10- $\hat{b}$ system. The overall pattern of NN correlations (bonds) is identical for all wavefunctions in odd-boundary topological sectors with $L_y = 8, 10$. In Fig. S5, we present a lattice visualization for the YC10- $\hat{s}$ system, as a representative picture for all even-boundary topological sectors, which demonstrates their general pattern of bond anisotropies.

We observe relatively strong patterns of bond anisotropy in YC structures of the spin liquid (SL). If the ground-state preserves all the continuous symmetries of the Hamiltonian and the point group symmetries of the lattice, one expects to observe *no* bond anisotropy pattern in the 2D limit of $L_y \rightarrow \infty$. If there is persistent existence of bond anisotropies in the lattice principal directions, the phase can be interpreted as a “nematic” SL[S23], which spontaneously breaks $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ rotational symmetry (i.e. C_6 group) of the triangular lattice, while preserving mirror symmetries. To provide more clarification on the nature of the bond anisotropies in the large system size limit, we calculate the NN correlations, averaged over a unit-cell, in all three principal directions of the lattice. Results for the bond anisotropies as a function of truncation error are presented in Fig. S6(a) for the even sectors and in Fig. S6(b) for the odd sectors.

We present extrapolated NN bond energy results (for the thermodynamic limit of $m \rightarrow \infty$) in Fig. S7. Evidently, even-boundary sectors $\hat{v}$ and $\hat{i}$ are becoming more symmetric (less anisotropic) while increasing L_y , and it is reasonable to suppose that these sectors become isotropic in the 2D limit. However, for the odd-boundary $\hat{b}$ and $\hat{f}$ sectors, the anisotropy is increasing with increasing L_y . This is more consistent with the existence of a nematic SL phase in the 2D limit. However odd-sector results may be affected more strongly by the finite size effects of L_y due to the placement of a half-integer quantum-number on the unit cell boundary. Similar patterns of the bond anisotropies are observed for both even- and odd-sectors by Hu *et al.*[S6].




FIG. S6. (Color online) iDMRG results for the NN bond anisotropies of the THM in three lattice directions versus the truncation error, ϵ , at $J_2 = 0.125$. Each colored series of results presents bond energies of a YCL_y structure. (a) Even sectors. (b) Odd sectors. Thin lines and dashed-lines are linear fits to serve as the extrapolated NN bond energies in the thermodynamic limit of $m \rightarrow \infty$ (see below).




FIG. S7. (Color online) iDMRG results for the extrapolated NN bond anisotropies of the THM in the three lattice directions against inverse of the system width. Results belong to topological sectors with YC structures at $J_2 = 0.125$. The individual error-bars are the standard deviations of linear fits against truncation errors, presented in Fig. S6(a) and Fig. S6(b).

CORRELATION LENGTHS

The nature of the *spin gap* is a key property of the system. Static correlation functions are closely related to the inverse gap size for both finite-width cylinders and in the 2D limit of $L_y \rightarrow \infty$ [S24]. We have not yet obtained a direct measurement of spin gap with iDMRG. However we can obtain easily the complete spectrum of possible *correlation lengths*, by diagonalizing the MPS transfer operator. We set $\Lambda_{m,q}$ to be the largest eigenvalue of the transfer matrix after the identity for a wavefunction with number of states of m and global spin of q . The correlation length, namely $\eta_{m,q}$, can be derived using $|\Lambda_{m,q}| = e^{u_0/\eta_{m,q}}$, where u_0 is the size of the wavefunction unit cell in units of the lattice




FIG. S8. (Color online) iDMRG results for the correlation length per unit-cell size against inverse of the number of states, m , in different anyonic sectors and system sizes of THM at $J_2 = 0.125$. Results are labeled with $SU(2)$ quantum numbers of $q = 0, 1$. Thin and dashed lines are attempted power-law fits to data according to $y^{-1} = a_0 + a_1 x^{a_2}$. Triangular symbols show extrapolated values of a_0 in the $m \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

spacing. The principal correlation length is the *largest* one of all q -sectors.

Hastings' 2004 theorem[S25] connect the size of the gap to an upper boundary for the correlation lengths of static correlation functions for a local, transitionally-invariant Hamiltonian (thus the size of the gap relates to the range of the correlations). For wavefunctions dominated by one-dimensional physics (built using the MPS ansatz), scaling behaviors are well known[S26]. For critical, gapless states, the correlation length should diverge with increasing the number of states. These states have quasi-long-range (power-law) spin correlations, and diverging entanglement entropy. In contrast, for gapped states, the correlation length should saturate toward a finite value with increasing m . For a 2D cylinder, the correlation length might diverge with the cylinder circumference.

In Fig. S8, we present our correlation length results for two quantum number sectors $q = 0, 1$. We note that for even-boundary topological sectors the correlation lengths for $q = 0$ and $q = 1$ cross at $m \approx m_{\text{crossover}}$ (not shown in the figure). For fixed-width cylinders, looking to either individual values of $\eta_{m,0}$ or extrapolated value of the $q = 0$ series at $m \rightarrow \infty$, namely $\eta_{\infty,0}$, one can observe that all topological sectors and system sizes have relatively small correlation length as $\eta \sim 1 - 10$ in units of the lattice spacing. This suggests that the correlation length remains finite in the 2D limit, however these extrapolations are very sensitive and although the correlation lengths are rather small, for the larger system sizes the correlation length is increasing with basis size in a way that is essentially indistinguishable from power-law (which is what is expected for a *gapless* system). The existence of a gapped SL phase for the model is supported by previous DMRG studies[S5, S6], although this was later questioned by other numerical studies[S22, S27].

SCALAR CHIRAL ORDER PARAMETER

In this section, we study the chirality of the spin-liquid phase. For spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ systems, the chirality also determines whether the state is planar or not[S28]. A commonly used observable to measure the chirality is *scalar chiral order parameter*,

$$O_\chi = \frac{1}{u_0} \sum_{\langle i,j,k \rangle} (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j) \cdot \mathbf{S}_k, \quad (\text{S1})$$




FIG. S9. (Color online) $SU(2)$ -symmetric iDMRG results for the scalar chiral order parameter, O_χ , against square root of truncation errors, $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ for different anyonic sectors and system sizes of the THM at $J_2 = 0.125$. Results are presented in a semi-logarithmic scale to compensate for the rapid reduction of the order parameter in Y-axis.

Where $\langle i, j, k \rangle$ represent a nearest-neighbor triangular plaquette and the result is averaged over the wavefunction unit-cell. A recent DMRG study[S6] found long-range chiral ordering in the even-sector for even-width cylinders. We already note that there is *no* sign of breaking of the time-reversal symmetry for either even- or odd-sectors (cf. main Letter). However to furthermore clarify the stability of chiral ground-states in the model, we measured O_χ for all of our obtained wavefunctions.

Fig. S9 shows the detailed behavior of O_χ versus truncation ($\sqrt{\epsilon}$). The values of O_χ are very small, decrease rapidly with the truncation error, and extrapolate to a value numerically indistinguishable from zero for $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus in each case we find that the state is *non-chiral* and *co-planar*. We note that for all available sizes of the even-sector, the wavefunctions have a small non-zero chirality for when keeping a relatively small number of states, but this vanishes rather quickly with increasing basis size m . In contrast, adding explicitly a chiral-symmetry breaking term (J_χ) to the Hamiltonian readily breaks the chiral symmetry[S29, S30] (while preserving $SU(2)$), supporting the notion that there is no spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry in the ground-state, but a relatively small J_χ term is sufficient to induce a chiral spin liquid[S30].

* s.saadatmand@uq.edu.au

- [S1] I. P. McCulloch, *J. Stat. Mech.* P10014 (2007).
- [S2] L. Michel and I. P. McCulloch, *preprint*, arXiv:1008.4667v1 (2010).
- [S3] C. Hubig, I. P. McCulloch, U. Schollwöck, and F. A. Wolf, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 155115 (2015).
- [S4] Steven R. White and A. L. Chernyshev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 127004 (2007) .
- [S5] Zhenyue Zhu and Steven R. White, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 041105 (2015).
- [S6] Wen-Jun Hu, Shou-Shu Gong, Wei Zhu, and D. N. Sheng, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 140403(R) (2015).
- [S7] Jean-Sébastien Caux, Jorn Mossel, and Isaac Pefez Castillo, *J. Stat. Mech.* P08006 (2008); Arthur Lavarélo and Guillaume Roux, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **87**, 229 (2014).
- [S8] A. Kitaev, *Ann. Phys.* **321**, 2 (2006).
- [S9] Hong-Chen Jiang, Zhenghan Wang, and Leon Balents, *Nature Physics* **8**, 902-905 (2012).
- [S10] Michael P. Zaletel and Ashvin Vishwanath, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 077201 (2015).
- [S11] N. Read and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1694 (1989).
- [S12] N. Read and B. Chakraborty, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 7133 (1989) ; N. Read and S. Sachdev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1773 (1991); T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 134521 (2001); G. Misguich and F. Mila, *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 134421 (2008).

- [S13] Yang Qi, Meng Cheng, and Chen Fang, *preprint* arXiv:1509.02927 (2015).
- [S14] Jacques des Cloizeaux and J. J. Pearson, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 2131 (1962) ; Gerhard Müller, Harry Thomas, Hans Beck, and Jill C. Bonner, *Phys. Rev. B* **24**, 1429 (1981) .
- [S15] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 277 (2010).
- [S16] Alexei Kitaev and John Preskill, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 110404 (2006) .
- [S17] Michael Levin and Xiao-Gang Wen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 110405 (2006).
- [S18] Yi Zhang, Tarun Grover, and Ashvin Vishwanath, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 075128 (2011). Erratum *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 199905 (2012).
- [S19] Ling Wang, Didier Poilblanc, Zheng-Cheng Gu, Xiao-Gang Wen, and Frank Verstraete, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 037202 (2013).
- [S20] Max A. Metlitski and Tarun Grover, *preprint* arxiv:1112.5166 (2015).
- [S21] Jacob D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333 (1973); Mark Srednicki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**, 666 (1993); M. B. Plenio, J. Eisert, J. Dreißig, and M. Cramer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 060503 (2005); Alioscia Hamma, Radu Ionicioiu, and Paolo Zanardi, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 022315 (2005); Alioscia Hamma *et al.*, *Phys. Lett. A* **337**, Issues 1&2, pp 22&28 (2005).
- [S22] Yasir Iqbal, Wen-Jun Hu, Ronny Thomale, Didier Poilblanc, and Federico Becca, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 144411 (2016).
- [S23] Kevin Slagle and Cenke Xu, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 104418 (2014); Yuan-Ming Lu, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 165113 (2016).
- [S24] V. Zauner, D. Draxler, L. Vanderstraeten, M. Degroote, J. Haegeman, M. M. Rams, V. Stojevic, N. Schuch, F. Verstraete, *New J. Phys.* **17**, 053002 (2015).
- [S25] M. B. Hastings, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 140402 (2004); M. B. Hastings, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 104431 (2004).
- [S26] L. Tagliacozzo, T. R. de Oliveira, S. Iblisdir, and J. I. Latorre, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 024410 (2008); V. Stojevic, J. Haegeman, I. P. McCulloch, L. Tagliacozzo, and F. Verstraete, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 035120 (2015).
- [S27] R. F. Bishop and P. H. Y. Li, *EPL* **112**, 67002 (2015).
- [S28] S. N. Saadatmand, B. J. Powell, and I. P. McCulloch, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 245119 (2015).
- [S29] Wen-Jun Hu, Shou-Shu Gong, and D. N. Sheng, *preprint*, arXiv:1603.03365v1 (2016).
- [S30] Alexander Wietek, Andreas M. Läuchli, *preprint*, arXiv:1604.07829v1 (2016).